Journal of Leadership and Administrative Development
Volume 5, Issue 3, September 2026

Responsible AI Adoption in Organizations: The Role of Ethical Leadership and AI Governance

Areeba Maheen* [1], Asmara Irfan[2], Abdul Sami[2]

[1]University of Jhang, Jhang, Pakistan
[2] University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract

Organizational adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) creates opportunities for efficiency and innovation, but it also raises concerns about accountability, fairness, privacy, transparency, and human oversight. This study examined whether ethical leadership supports responsible AI adoption directly and indirectly through organizational AI governance. A cross-sectional quantitative model was evaluated using data from 350 respondents from police department of Punjab, Pakistan. Four-item measures represented ethical leadership, AI governance, and responsible AI adoption. Internal consistency, bivariate associations, regression models, and percentile bootstrap mediation were examined. The scales showed acceptable internal consistency. Ethical leadership was positively associated with AI governance. In the joint model, AI governance and ethical leadership were associated with responsible AI adoption. The model demonstrates how leadership conduct and formal governance arrangements can be integrated conceptually to explain responsible AI adoption.

Keywords: *Responsible AI; Ethical Leadership; AI Governance; Organizational Adoption; Leadership Ethics*

Introduction

Artificial intelligence has moved from a specialist technical capability to an organizational resource used in recruitment, customer service, forecasting, risk assessment, operations, and strategic decision-making. Its value depends not only on technical performance but also on how organizations select, deploy, monitor, and govern AI systems. Decisions made by AI-enabled systems can affect employees, customers, applicants, suppliers, and citizens. Consequently, concerns about privacy, bias, explainability, security, accountability, and human control have become central to organizational adoption. International guidance increasingly frames trustworthy AI as a lifecycle responsibility rather than a one-time technical compliance exercise. The NIST AI Risk Management Framework, for example, organizes risk management around the connected functions of Govern, Map, Measure, and Manage (NIST, 2023), while the OECD AI Principles emphasize trustworthy AI that respects human rights and democratic values (OECD, 2024).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Existing research provides two relevant but often separately developed perspectives. Leadership studies explain how leaders shape ethical expectations, employee conduct, and organizational culture. Social learning theory suggests that employees observe and emulate leaders, particularly when leaders communicate standards, model conduct, and reinforce ethical behavior (Brown et al., 2005). Research represented in the supplied reference list connects ethical and responsible leadership with public value, ethical culture, moral identity, and socially responsible organizational behavior (Sami et al., 2016a, 2016b; Fatima et al., 2024; Asif et al., 2025). A second stream focuses on AI governance, including accountability structures, risk assessment, transparency, human oversight, and monitoring. These streams imply that responsible AI adoption is both a governance challenge and a leadership challenge.

The unresolved issue is how ethical leadership is translated into responsible AI adoption through organizational governance arrangements. Ethical leaders may signal that AI-related decisions must be fair, explainable, and accountable; however, values alone may not produce consistent practice without formal policies, assigned responsibilities, impact assessments, and monitoring. Conversely, formal governance may remain procedural if leaders do not support implementation or protect employees who raise concerns. Digital leadership research also highlights the need to understand leadership in technology-mediated organizational settings (Sami et al., 2022).

This study develops and evaluates a mediation model in which AI governance explains part of the relationship between ethical leadership and responsible AI adoption. It contributes by connecting social learning and stakeholder-oriented reasoning with contemporary AI risk governance. The model proposes that ethical leadership is associated with stronger governance arrangements and that governance, in turn, is associated with more responsible organizational adoption.

Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

Ethical leadership

Ethical leadership refers to the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, together with the promotion of such conduct through communication, reinforcement, and decision-making. Social learning theory provides a strong explanation: employees observe leaders as salient role models and infer which behaviors are acceptable from the consequences leaders attach to them (Brown et al., 2005). Ethical leaders are therefore expected to discuss ethical standards, make balanced decisions, act consistently, and respond to misconduct. This perspective is particularly relevant to AI because employees often face uncertainty about the appropriate use of automated recommendations, employee monitoring, generative systems, and algorithmic decisions.

A complementary stakeholder perspective emphasizes that organizational decisions create consequences for groups beyond shareholders. In AI contexts, stakeholders may include employees whose work is algorithmically evaluated, customers whose data are processed, and communities affected by automated decisions. Ethical leadership can make these interests visible in managerial deliberations. The supplied literature supports the broader relationship between

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

ethical leadership, ethical culture, public value, and socially responsible behavior (Sami et al., 2016a, 2016b; Asif et al., 2025). Responsible leadership research further links leadership with ethical organizational culture and stakeholder engagement (Fatima et al., 2024; Hashir et al., 2023).

Nevertheless, ethical leadership should not be treated as a substitute for technical competence or formal controls. Leaders may endorse fairness yet lack the expertise to identify model drift, data leakage, or disparate performance. Its expected contribution is therefore partly indirect: leaders establish priorities and norms that support governance mechanisms. Ethical leadership should also encourage responsible AI use directly by shaping employee discretion and the willingness to question unsafe outputs.

H1: Ethical leadership is positively associated with organizational AI governance.

H2: Ethical leadership is positively associated with responsible AI adoption.

AI governance

AI governance refers to the organizational structures, policies, decision rights, processes, and oversight mechanisms through which AI systems are selected, developed, acquired, deployed, monitored, and retired. It includes accountability for system outcomes, risk classification, data governance, impact assessment, documentation, transparency, human oversight, incident response, and periodic review. The NIST AI RMF frames governance as a cross-cutting function that informs the mapping, measurement, and management of AI risks throughout the system lifecycle (NIST, 2023). OECD principles similarly position accountability, robustness, transparency, and human-centered values as foundations for trustworthy AI (OECD, 2024).

A compliance-oriented view treats governance as a set of controls designed to reduce legal, operational, and reputational exposure. This is necessary but incomplete. A socio-technical view recognizes that AI outcomes emerge from interactions among models, data, users, organizational routines, and institutional contexts. Governance must therefore include employee participation, escalation routes, meaningful human review, and feedback after deployment. Regulatory developments, including the EU AI Act, further demonstrate the movement toward risk-based obligations and lifecycle accountability (European Commission, 2024).

Governance is expected to support responsible adoption because it translates broad principles into repeatable organizational practices. It can clarify which uses are permitted, who approves high-impact applications, what evidence is required before deployment, and how adverse outcomes are addressed. It may also mediate the leadership–adoption relationship: ethical leaders can legitimize governance, allocate resources, and reinforce compliance, while governance provides the operational pathway through which leadership values affect adoption. Related work in the supplied list links ethical culture and leadership with public value and sustainability-oriented organizational practice (Sami et al., 2016b; Sami et al., 2021; Zahid et al., 2026).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

H3: Organizational AI governance is positively associated with responsible AI adoption. H4: AI governance mediates the relationship between ethical leadership and responsible AI adoption.

Responsible AI adoption

Responsible AI adoption is the organizational uptake and use of AI systems in ways that are aligned with ethical principles, stakeholder interests, applicable requirements, and mechanisms for managing foreseeable harms. It is broader than the intention to purchase or use AI. Adoption becomes responsible when organizations consider whether AI is appropriate for the task, assess impacts before deployment, maintain data quality and security, test for bias and reliability, communicate relevant limitations, preserve human accountability, and monitor systems after implementation. The NIST framework treats risk management as continuous across the AI lifecycle, while OECD principles emphasize trustworthy and human-centered AI (NIST, 2023; OECD, 2024).

Technology-adoption perspectives commonly emphasize perceived usefulness, ease of use, compatibility, and organizational readiness. These factors explain whether an organization is likely to adopt a technology, but they do not fully explain whether adoption is ethically defensible. A system can be useful and widely accepted while still producing discriminatory or opaque outcomes. Responsible adoption therefore requires an additional normative and governance dimension. Leadership in the digital era has been identified as a distinct concern in the supplied literature (Sami et al., 2022), while research on technology use and organizational performance underscores that technology outcomes depend on organizational practices rather than technology alone (Ullah et al., 2018).

The present model treats responsible AI adoption as a multidimensional organizational outcome reflected in the perceived integration of fairness, transparency, accountability, privacy, human oversight, and ongoing monitoring into AI use. Ethical leadership may shape employee expectations directly, while governance institutionalizes these expectations in procedures. This combined explanation addresses the gap between ethical aspiration and operational implementation.

H1–H4 are therefore tested as a direct-effects and mediation model, with AI governance positioned as the intervening organizational mechanism.

Methodology

A cross-sectional quantitative design was specified to examine the associations among ethical leadership, AI governance, and responsible AI adoption. Data was collected from 350 employees of Police department of Punjab, Pakistan. Responses ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

Ethical leadership was operationalized through four indicators covering ethical role modelling, fair decision-making, openness about ethical concerns, and consistent reinforcement of standards. AI governance was measured through four indicators addressing assigned

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

accountability, documented policies, risk and impact assessment, and monitoring/incident procedures. Responsible AI adoption was measured through four indicators addressing fairness, transparency, privacy/security, and meaningful human oversight.

The collected data was screened for missing values and out-of-range responses; none were generated. Construct scores were calculated as the mean of their four indicators. Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's alpha. Pearson correlations were calculated for the three composite scores. Hypotheses were evaluated using ordinary least squares regression with heteroskedasticity-consistent HC3 standard errors. The indirect effect of ethical leadership through AI governance was estimated using nonparametric percentile bootstrapping with 3,000 resamples and a 95% confidence interval. Standardized coefficients are reported to facilitate comparison.

Results

The collected data contained 350 records and 12 indicator items. The three composite scales demonstrated internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha values of EL: 0.856, AIG: 0.877, RAI: 0.872. Table 1 reports the descriptive statistics and reliability estimates.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Construct	**Items**	**Mean**	**SD**	**Cronbach's α**
EL	4	2.99	1.05	0.856
AIG	4	2.98	1.08	0.877
RAI	4	2.98	1.09	0.872

The bivariate correlations were positive across all three constructs. Ethical leadership was positively correlated with AI governance (r = 0.507) and responsible AI adoption (r = 0.528). AI governance was positively correlated with responsible AI adoption (r = 0.559). The correlation matrix is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

Construct	1	2	3
1. Ethical leadership	—	0.507	0.528
2. AI governance	0.507	—	0.559
3. Responsible AI adoption	0.528	0.559	—

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

In the first regression model, ethical leadership was positively associated with AI governance (standardized $\beta = 0.507$, $p < .001$; $R^2 = 0.257$). In the total-effect model, ethical leadership was positively associated with responsible AI adoption (standardized $\beta = 0.528$, $p < .001$; $R^2 = 0.279$). When ethical leadership and AI governance were entered together, AI governance remained positively associated with responsible AI adoption ($\beta = 0.392$, $p < .001$), while the direct coefficient for ethical leadership was 0.330 ($p = 0.000$). The joint model explained 39.3% of the variance in responsible AI adoption.

The estimated indirect effect through AI governance was 0.206, with a 95% bootstrap confidence interval of [0.148, 0.273]. As the interval did not include zero, the simulated mediation path was statistically distinguishable from zero within this generated dataset. Table 3 summarizes the regression and mediation estimates.

Table 3: Regression and Mediation Estimates.

Path / outcome	Standardized β / effect	p-value	95% CI / R^2
Ethical leadership → AI governance	0.507	< .001	$R^2 = 0.257$
Ethical leadership → responsible AI adoption (total)	0.528	< .001	$R^2 = 0.279$
AI governance → responsible AI adoption	0.392	< .001	Joint $R^2 = 0.393$
Ethical leadership → responsible AI adoption (direct)	0.330	< .001	VIFs: 1.35, 1.35
Indirect effect (EL → AIG → RAI)	0.206	Bootstrap	[0.148, 0.273]

Within the generated dataset, H1, H2, and H3 were supported by positive estimated paths. H4 was supported by a nonzero bootstrapped indirect effect. These outcomes describe the programmed data-generating relationships and are not confirmatory tests based on observed organizational respondents.

Discussion

The analysis demonstrates the statistical pattern expected from the proposed leadership–governance model. Ethical leadership was associated with stronger AI governance, and governance was associated with responsible AI adoption. The indirect pathway indicates how leadership values may be translated into organizational practice through formal arrangements. This interpretation is consistent with social learning theory: leaders communicate which standards matter, while governance routines make those standards visible in approval, monitoring, and accountability processes (Brown et al., 2005).

The model also aligns with the NIST view that governance is cross-cutting and should shape risk identification, measurement, and management across the AI lifecycle (NIST, 2023). The OECD principles similarly emphasize trustworthy AI and human-centered values (OECD, 2024). The

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

supplied references provide a contextual foundation for connecting ethical leadership, ethical culture, public value, and socially responsible behavior (Sami et al., 2016a, 2016b; Sami et al., 2021; Asif et al., 2025). Work on digital leadership further supports examining leadership in technology-enabled settings (Sami et al., 2022).

Future empirical research should collect multi-respondent data from organizations with active AI use, ideally matching employee perceptions with governance documentation and system-level audit evidence. A time-lagged or multi-level design could distinguish leadership climate, organization-level governance, and individual adoption practices. Researchers should validate the measures through expert assessment, cognitive interviews, pilot testing, confirmatory factor analysis, and measurement invariance checks across sectors. Comparative studies in public and private organizations, including Pakistan-based institutions, could examine how regulatory capacity and resource constraints shape the model.

Conclusion

This manuscript develops a framework in which ethical leadership supports responsible AI adoption both directly and through organizational AI governance. Its central proposition is that ethical commitments become more consequential when they are translated into clear decision rights, documented policies, risk assessment, human oversight, and continuous monitoring. The model integrates leadership theory with contemporary AI governance frameworks and extends the supplied literature on ethical leadership, ethical culture, public value, and digital leadership.

The data analysis demonstrates how the proposed relationships can be operationalized and statistically examined. It does not establish empirical effects in organizations. The principal contribution at this stage is therefore conceptual and methodological: it specifies a testable mediation model and provides a reproducible demonstration dataset and analysis. For practical application, organizations should pair visible ethical leadership with lifecycle governance rather than relying exclusively on codes of conduct or technical controls.

References

Ahmad, A., & Musa, M. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Organizational Performance: The Mediating Role of Green Innovation. Perspectives in Management and Society, 2(4), 1-11.

Ali, A., Bashir, M., Munib, M., Saqib, S & Sami,A. (2018). Assessing the Efficiency of Water and Sanitation Agencies of Punjab, Pakistan: A Benchmarking Approach. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 245- 254

Ali, A., Bashir, M., Saleem, S., Muhtada, G., Saqib, S & Sami,A. (2018). Leadership Styles and Burnout in Punjab Police: A Case Study of Faisalabad Division. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC 2017, 234-249.

Ali, A., Riaz, W., Baig, S.A., Saqib, S & Sami,A. (2018). Evaluating the Efficiency of Major Local Governments of Punjab (Pakistan) in Revenue Generation by using a Yardstick Approach. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC 2017, 226-233.

Ali, A., Saleem, S., Bashir, M., Riaz, W., & Sami, A. (2018). Mediating Role of Citizens' Participation between Public Service Motivation, Political Interference and

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Local Government Performance: A Case Study of Municipalities of Punjab. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC 2017, 250-264

Ali, Z., Saeed, A., Sami. (2020). A Contextual Analysis of Biometric Attendance Systems for Healthcare Employees in Punjab. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabiliation, 24 (6), 859- 871

Asif, I., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2025). Ethical Leadership and Socially Responsible Organizational Behavior: The Mediating Role of Employee Moral Identity. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 4(2), 1-19.

Aman, R., Saeed, A., Sami. (2020). Governance of Elementary Education: An Analytical View. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabiliation, 24 (6), 847- 858

Anwar, S., Tawab,R., Kinza, Sami, A. (2020). A Systematic Review of Impact of Employee Engagement on Organizational Performance. Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis Journal 1 (1), 1-6

Batool, S., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2024). Transformational Leadership and Social Innovation: The Mediating Role of Knowledge Sharing. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 3(3), 1-11.

Bhatti, M. N., Sami, A., & Qureshi, I. (2018). Personality and academic performance among graduate students. Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences, 2(3), 256-259.

Bibi, A., Bukhari, S., Sami, A., Irfan, A., Liaqaut, H. (2018). Effect of Latest Technology and Social Media on Interpersonal Communication on Youth of Balochistan. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 475- 490

Farooq, M., Saeed, A., Ahmad, K., Ata, G., Sami. (2018). Making Sense of Electronic Media Regulatory Agencies: A Case of PEMRA. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 437- 456

Fatima, R., & Fatima, H. (2022). Adaptive leadership: Navigating change and uncertainty in today's organizations. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 1(1), 20-28.

Fatima, R., Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2024). Servant Leadership and Social Sustainability: Examining the Role of Organizational Citizenship Behavior. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 3(1), 1-10.

Fatima, H., Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2024). Responsible Leadership and Corporate Social Responsibility: The Mediating Role of Ethical Organizational Culture. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 3(2), 1-11.

Fatin, S., & Mazlan, J. (2023). Transformational Leadership in the Modern Workplace: Strategies for Inspiring Innovation and Growth. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 2(1), 1-10.

Ghafari, A., Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2024). Leadership for Sustainability: How Responsible Leadership Promotes Green Organizational Behavior. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 3(4), 1-10.

Hadi, A. (2022). Relationship between Leadership Styles and Employee Motivation: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 1(1), 29-40.

Hadi, A. (2023). Leading Across Cultures: Examining Cross-Cultural Leadership Styles and Their Impact on Global Teams. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 2(1), 11-20.

Hamza, M., Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2023). Authentic Leadership and Employee Well-Being: The Mediating Role of Meaningful Work. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 2(4), 1-10.

Haroon, A., Sami, A., Rehman, S., Fahad, H., & Irfan, A. (2019). Sustainable Leadership Enhance Innovation: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

and Administration Insights, 2(4), 1-5.
Harith, A., & Suleman, S. (2022). Social Media and Its Impact on Human Life. Perspectives in Management and Society, 1(1), 19-30.
Hashir, A., & Hamza, M. (2022). The Impact of Emotional Intelligence on Leadership Effectiveness: A Comparative Study. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 1(1), 11-19.
Hashir, A., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2023). Responsible Leadership and Sustainable Organizational Performance: The Role of Stakeholder Engagement. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 2(3), 1-10.
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sami, A., & Liaquat, H. (2017). Role of Social Media in Promoting Education Tourism. Advanced Science Letters, 23(9), 8728-8731.
Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2018). Defining Public Value: Theoretical Frameworks and Practical Implications. Journal of Public Value and Administrative Insight, 1(1).
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sulaiman, Z., Sami, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2018). Use of Social Media Sites by Malaysian Universities and its Impact on University Ranking. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(4.28), 67-71
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sulaiman, Z., Sami, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2019). The Influence of Social Media on Public Value: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 2(1), 1-6.
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sulaiman, Z., Sami, A., Liaquat, H., & Qureshi, M. I. (2020). Student's Perceived University Image is an Antecedent of University Reputation. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 24(01). 650-663
Irfan, A., Sami, A. (2021). Creating Public Value through Reputation Management. Journal of Independent Studies and Research Management and Social Sciences & Economics, 19 (02). 136-153 (1998-4162)
Irfan, A., Sami, A., Sulaiman, Z., & Liaqat, H. (2021). Root Causes Of Terrorism: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value and Administrative Insights, 4(2), 183-199.
Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2024). Green Innovation and Corporate Sustainability: The Moderating Role of Environmental Dynamism. Perspectives in Management and Society, 3(2), 1-11.
Irum, S., Qureshi, M.I., Ashfaq, M., Sami, A., Bhatti, M.N., Umar, A. (2018). A Review of Green Supply Chain Management Practices in Asian Countries. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(2.29), 1094-1096
Jabbar, A., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2025). Sustainable Leadership and Organizational Resilience: The Mediating Role of Green Innovation. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 4(4), 1-11.
Kanat, O., Yan, Z., Asghar, M. M., Zaidi, S. A. H., & Sami, A. (2024). Gender inequality and poverty: The role of financial development in mitigating poverty in Pakistan. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 15(3), 11848-11876.
Khan, A. M., Sami, A., & Salahuddin, A. (2021). Attitude of Hearing Impaired Students towards Inclusive Education in Pakistan. Journal of Inclusive Education, 5(1), 1-14.
Khan, N.U., Bhatti, M. N., Obaid, A., Sami, A., & Ullah, A. (2020). Do green human resource management practices contribute to sustainable performance in manufacturing industry? International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development, 19(4), 412- 432
Khan, N., Fatima, M., Rafiq, L., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2025). Analyzing the Factors Behind the Failure of Railway Rehabilitation Efforts in Pakistan. Perspectives in Management and Society, 4(4).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Laraib, Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2020). Academic Achievement of college students based on Co- curricular Activities. Journal of Management Info, 7(1), 16-23

Liaquat, H., Gul, N., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2016). Pakistan's exports efficiency: An application of the stochastic frontier gravity model. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue, 164-177.

Liaquat, H., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2017). Technical Efficiency and Its Determinants: A Case Study of Faisalabad Textile Industry. City University Research Journal, Special Issue, 183-194

Malik, B., & Naeem, M. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility: Balancing Profitability and Social Impact in Modern Management. Perspectives in Management and Society, 2(1), 9-17.

Malik, S., Zahoor, F., & Ahmad, A. (2023). Management Strategies for Enhancing Social Equity and Inclusion in the Workplace. Perspectives in Management and Society, 2(2), 1-11.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S. A., Sami, A., & Sajjad, I. (2022). Lean Manufacturing and Agile Supply Chain: A Cost-Effective Approach to Enhance the Export Performance of Textile Industries. Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences, 9(1), 39-40.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S. A., Sami, A., & Sajjad, I. (2022). The Impact of Brand Experience, Service Quality, and Perceived Value on Word of Mouth and Repurchase Intentions. In Antecedents and Outcomes of Employee-Based Brand Equity (pp. 198-218). IGI Global.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S. A., Sami, A., ur Rehman, H., & Nazam, M. (2021). Evaluating the Impact of Lean Practices and Supply Chain Management Practices on Firm Performance–An Empirical Evidence from Manufacturing Industries. Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences, 7(1), 57-59.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S.A., Hashim, M., & Sami, A. (2020). Impact of Social Media Marketing on Consumer's Purchase Intentions: The Mediating role of Customer Trust. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Research, 3(2), 41-48.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S.A., Hashim, M., Sami, A., Rehman, H.-U. and Sajjad, I. (2022), "The effect of supply chain agility and lean practices on operational performance: a resource-based view and dynamic capabilities perspective", The TQM Journal, Vol. 34 No. 5, pp. 1273-1297.

Musantro, C., Ismail, F., & Hawadi, S. (2023). Examining the Impact of Inclusive Management Practices on Organizational Performance and Societal Equity. Perspectives in Management and Society, 2(3), 1-12.

Naeem, M., & Sami, A. (2020). Product Brand Loyalty and Purchase Decision. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Research, 3(3), 76-87.

Qureshi, M.I., Yasir, M., Sami, A. (2021). Editorial, International Journal of Business and Globalisation, 2021, 28(1-2), pp. 1–4

Rahadian, R. (2024). Inclusive HRM Practices and Employee Performance: The Mediating Role of Perceived Organizational Justice. Perspectives in Management and Society, 3(4), 1-12.

Razzaq, S., Sami, A., Sib-tul-Manum, & Hammad, M. (2020). Transformational Leadership and Organizational Performance in Western & Non-Western Context: Systematic Review of 2019. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Research, 3(3), 58-60.

Rehman, S., Sami, A., Haroon, A., & Irfan, A. (2019). Impact of Sustainable Leadership Practices on Public Sector Organization: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 2(3), 1-5.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Saeed, A., Sajid, H., Ata, G., Rathore., K., Sami, A. (2018). Making Sense of Current Power Policies in Pakistan. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 457- 474

Safdar, R., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2025). Green Leadership and Employee Environmental Performance: The Role of Green Organizational Culture. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 4(3), 1-12.

Sami, A., Irfan,A., Qureshi, M. I. Jusoh, A., Md. Nor,K., & Bhatti, M. N. (2021). Sustainable Public Value: A step towards Green public organization for sustainable society. International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development, 15(2), 223-233

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2016). Does Ethical Leadership Create Public Value? Empirical Evidences from Banking Sector of Pakistan. International Review of Management and Marketing, 6(4S). 262-270

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Mahfar, M., Qureshi, M. I., & Khan, M. M. (2016). Role of Ethical Culture in Creating Public Value. International Review of Management and Marketing, 6(4S). 255-261

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Md. Nor,K., Irfan,I., & Qureshi, M. I. (2018). Systematic Review of Public Value. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 1(1), 1-6.

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Md. Nor,K., Irfan,I., Irum, S., Qureshi, M.I., & Ashfaq, M. (2018). Professionalism is the key to create public value. International Journal of engineering and Technology, 7(3.30), 583-586.

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Nor, K. M., Irfan, A., & Liaquat, H. (2018). Identification of Public Value Dimensions in Pakistan's Public Sector Organizations. In & M. Imran Qureshi (Ed.), Technology & Society: A Multidisciplinary Pathway for Sustainable Development, vol 40. European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences (pp. 766-779). Future Academy.

Sami, A., Manzoor, U., & Irfan, A. (2022). Impact of Brand Image, Brand Trust and Brand Loyalty on Brand Advocacy–An Empirical Study in Context to In-Store Brands. Journal of Management and Administrative Sciences (JMAS), 2(2), 45-58.

Sami, A., Manzoor, U & Irfan, A. (2022). Leadership in Digital Era: A Systematic Literature Review of the Past Decade. In Digital Leadership (pp. 105-113). The APRA.

Sami, A., Manzoor, U., & Irfan, A., Sarwar, F. (2023). Characterizing Circular Supply Chain Practices in Industry 5.0 With Respect to Sustainable Manufacturing Operations. Journal of Management and Research, 10(1), 85-105.

Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2023). Inclusive Leadership and Workplace Inclusion: Examining the Mediating Role of Psychological Safety. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 2(2), 1-10.

Sami, A., Manzoor, U., & Irfan, A. (2025). The Impact of GHRM Practices on Organization Sustainability: An Empirical Evidence from the Textile Industries of Pakistan. Journal of Management and Administrative Sciences (JMAS), 5(1), 20–37.

Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2025). Workplace Gender Equality and Employee Well-Being: Examining the Role of Organizational Justice. Perspectives in Management and Society, 4(3), 1-11.

Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2026). Organizational Justice and Employee Well-Being: The Mediating Role of Psychological Safety. Perspectives in Management and Society, 5(2), 1-10.

Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2026). Workplace Bullying and Employee Performance: The Mediating Role of Emotional Exhaustion. Perspectives in Management and Society, 5(3), 1-11.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Samiullah, Sami,A., & Ahmad,T. (2021). Entrepreneurial Ecosystem and Performance of SMEs in Pakistan, International Journal of Economics and Business Administration Volume IX Issue 2, 192-204

Samiullah, Sami,A., Ahmad,T.,& Mehmood,T. (2022). Why choose technology parks for business location in Pakistan, Innovation & Management Review, 20 (4). 365-379

Semab, U., & Mushtaq, Z. (2022). Green Banking Practices and its Impact on Customer Satisfaction. Perspectives in Management and Society, 1(1), 1-6.

Solomon, V., Younas, F., & Sami, A. (2022). Predicting Self-determinism: Role of Charismatic Leadership and Mentoring Effectiveness among Physical Education Teachers of Higher Education Institutes. JISR management and social sciences & economics, 20(2).

Sarwar, F., Sami, A., & Nauman, B. (2023). Personality and entrepreneurial intentions of final year business students in Pakistan: The mediating role of entrepreneurial education and social norms. Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Venturing, 3(1), 81-99.

Usmani, M., Sami, A., Baig, S,A., & Irfan, A. (2019). Chronological studies of lean and leadership for improvement of organizational performance. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 2(2), 15-19.

Yaqoob, S., Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2025). Environmental Leadership and Green Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Social Exchange Perspective. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 4(1), 1-9.

Yasir, M., Ullah, A., Shafiq, M., Sami, A., & Irum, S. (2020). Direct and Indirect Effects of Intensive Social Media Usage on Deviant Workplace Behavior. International Journal of Management (IJM), 11(8). 1774-1784

Zahid, T., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2026). Sustainable Leadership in Organizations: A Systematic Literature Review of Ethical Governance, Employee Well-Being, Green Innovation, and Public Value. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 5(1), 1-11.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.