Journal of Leadership and Administrative Development
Volume 2, Issue 3, September 2023

Responsible Leadership and Sustainable Organizational Performance: The Role of Stakeholder Engagement

Ahmad Hashir, Asmara Irfan[1*], Abdul Sami[1]

[1] Department of Management Sciences, University of Jhang, Jhang, Pakistan

Abstract

Responsible leadership is increasingly important to organizational sustainability because organizational decisions affect multiple stakeholder groups and shape economic, social, and environmental outcomes. Although prior research connects responsible and sustainable leadership with organizational outcomes, less attention has been given to stakeholder engagement as a mechanism linking responsible leadership with sustainable organizational performance. A quantitative cross-sectional survey design was used to examine relationships among responsible leadership, stakeholder engagement, and sustainable organizational performance. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, Pearson correlations, regression, and bootstrapped mediation. Responsible leadership was positively associated with stakeholder engagement and sustainable organizational performance. Stakeholder engagement was positively associated with sustainable organizational performance. The indirect effect through stakeholder engagement was significant, while the direct effect remained significant Stakeholder engagement represented an important pathway through which responsible leadership was associated with sustainable organizational performance. The findings connect responsible leadership and stakeholder theory by positioning engagement as a relational mechanism for sustainable value creation.

Keywords: *Responsible leadership; Stakeholder engagement; Sustainable organizational performance; Stakeholder theory; Sustainable leadership.*

Introduction

Organizations increasingly operate under expectations that extend beyond short-term financial performance. Employees, customers, suppliers, regulators, communities, investors, and other stakeholders increasingly evaluate organizations according to ethical conduct, social responsibility, environmental stewardship, and the durability of value creation. This broader performance environment has increased attention to leadership approaches that recognize the consequences of managerial decisions for multiple constituencies. Responsible leadership is particularly relevant because it emphasizes ethical conduct, accountability, dialogue, and the reconciliation of organizational and stakeholder interests. Research on ethical leadership and public value provides evidence that leadership and ethical culture can contribute to

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

broader forms of organizational value (Sami, Jusoh, & Qureshi, 2016; Sami, Jusoh, Mahfar, Qureshi, & Khan, 2016).

The stakeholder perspective provides a theoretical foundation for responsible leadership. Organizations are embedded in networks of relationships with groups that provide resources, legitimacy, information, cooperation, and institutional support. Responsible leaders therefore need to understand stakeholder expectations and establish processes through which those expectations can inform organizational decisions. Research on stakeholder integration similarly suggests that external stakeholders can contribute knowledge relevant to innovation and sustainability (Ullah et al., 2023).

Despite this literature, an important empirical question concerns the process through which responsible leadership becomes translated into sustainable organizational performance. Studies of sustainable leadership frequently establish relationships with innovation and organizational outcomes, while the relational mechanism of stakeholder engagement receives less explicit attention (Haroon et al., 2019; Rehman et al., 2019). Likewise, corporate social responsibility research demonstrates the relevance of sustainability-oriented practices, but does not necessarily explain how leadership behavior mobilizes stakeholder participation.

This study addresses that gap by examining stakeholder engagement as a mediating mechanism between responsible leadership and sustainable organizational performance. The model integrates responsible leadership with stakeholder theory and proposes that leaders influence performance partly by creating conditions for meaningful stakeholder participation, information exchange, consultation, and joint problem solving. The study therefore moves beyond a simple direct-effect model and examines whether stakeholder engagement accounts for part of the leadership-performance relationship. Four hypotheses were developed concerning the direct relationships and the mediating role of stakeholder engagement.

Literature Review

Responsible Leadership

Responsible leadership emphasizes the ethical, social, and relational responsibilities of leaders toward multiple stakeholders. It differs from leadership approaches that focus primarily on leader-follower exchange because its reference group extends beyond organizational members to parties affected by organizational decisions. Responsible leaders consider consequences for employees, customers, communities, suppliers, regulators, and society while maintaining accountability for organizational objectives.

The construct overlaps with ethical, sustainable, and transformational leadership but retains a distinctive stakeholder orientation. Ethical leadership emphasizes fairness, integrity, and moral management; transformational leadership emphasizes vision, motivation, and change; sustainable leadership emphasizes long-term continuity and value. Responsible leadership incorporates elements of these perspectives while emphasizing dialogue and responsibility across stakeholder boundaries. Evidence from sustainable leadership research links leadership with innovation and organizational development (Haroon et al., 2019), while systematic evidence on transformational leadership connects leadership with organizational performance in Western and non-Western contexts (Razzaq et al., 2020).

Responsible leadership can also create access to stakeholder knowledge and relational resources. Leaders who maintain credible and transparent relationships can obtain information about customer expectations, community concerns, regulatory changes, operational risks, and innovation opportunities. The public-

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

value literature similarly argues that organizational value can extend beyond narrow economic returns and include social outcomes (Sami et al., 2018; Sami et al., 2021). Responsible leadership is therefore expected to be associated with both stakeholder engagement and sustainable performance.

H1: Responsible leadership is positively associated with sustainable organizational performance.

Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder engagement refers to reciprocal interaction between organizations and stakeholders through consultation, communication, participation, collaboration, and joint problem solving. It differs from one-way communication because stakeholders have opportunities to contribute information, preferences, knowledge, and perspectives to organizational processes. Stakeholder theory explains why such engagement matters: organizational survival and value creation depend on relationships with groups that control important resources and confer legitimacy.

Stakeholder engagement is particularly important for sustainability because environmental and social problems often cross organizational boundaries. Employees may identify workplace and process problems; customers can reveal changing expectations; suppliers can provide technical knowledge; communities can communicate social concerns; regulators can clarify institutional requirements; and external partners can contribute innovation capabilities. Research on external stakeholder integration supports this logic by linking stakeholder knowledge integration with green innovation performance under appropriate organizational and regulatory conditions (Ullah et al., 2023).

Leadership provides the relational conditions under which engagement can occur. Responsible leaders can encourage participation by demonstrating fairness, responsiveness, accountability, and openness to competing perspectives. Research on citizens' participation likewise demonstrates that participation can operate as a mechanism connecting organizational conditions with performance (Ali et al., 2018). Thus, responsible leadership is expected to strengthen stakeholder engagement, while stakeholder engagement is expected to contribute to sustainable performance.

H2: Responsible leadership is positively associated with stakeholder engagement.
H3: Stakeholder engagement is positively associated with sustainable organizational performance.

Sustainable Organizational Performance

Sustainable organizational performance refers to enduring economic, social, and environmental outcomes rather than financial performance alone. It captures an organization's capacity to create value while maintaining relationships, resources, legitimacy, and environmental conditions required for long-term viability. This multidimensional perspective is consistent with research on green human resource management, corporate social responsibility, sustainable public value, and sustainable leadership.

Empirical research connects sustainability-oriented practices with organizational outcomes. Green human resource management has been examined as an antecedent of sustainable performance in manufacturing (Khan et al., 2020), while corporate social responsibility and green innovation have been connected with sustainable organizational performance (Ahmad & Musa, 2023). Sustainable public value research similarly conceptualizes organizational value as including broader social and environmental outcomes (Sami et al., 2021).

Leadership influences sustainability by establishing priorities, allocating resources, communicating

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

organizational values, and shaping routines. Sustainable leadership research emphasizes long-term organizational development and innovation (Haroon et al., 2019; Rehman et al., 2019). Responsible leadership adds a stakeholder mechanism: performance is expected to improve when leaders create relationships that generate knowledge, cooperation, legitimacy, and opportunities for joint value creation.

H4: Stakeholder engagement mediates the relationship between responsible leadership and sustainable organizational performance.

Theoretical Framework

The framework integrates stakeholder theory and responsible leadership theory. Stakeholder theory explains why organizations attend to multiple stakeholder interests, whereas responsible leadership explains how leaders enact this orientation through ethical, accountable, and dialogic behavior. The proposed mechanism is Responsible Leadership → Stakeholder Engagement → Sustainable Organizational Performance, with a direct path from Responsible Leadership to Sustainable Organizational Performance. The direct path is retained because leaders may also influence sustainability through strategy, resource allocation, organizational culture, and ethical standards.

Methodology

A quantitative cross-sectional survey design was used. The target population comprised employees and managers in medium and large organizations with formal quality, sustainability, corporate responsibility, or stakeholder-management activities. A structured questionnaire was administered electronically and in paper form. Participation was voluntary and responses were treated confidentially. Responses containing substantial missing information were excluded.

Responsible leadership was measured using multi-item statements covering ethical conduct, accountability, consideration of multiple stakeholders, dialogue, and long-term responsibility. Stakeholder engagement was measured through items assessing consultation, information exchange, participation in decision processes, responsiveness, and collaborative problem solving. Sustainable organizational performance was measured using items covering economic continuity, social outcomes, environmental performance, and long-term organizational value. Substantive items used a five-point Likert scale from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree.

The final analytical sample contained 312 usable responses. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Cronbach's alpha, Pearson correlations, ordinary least-squares regression, and mediation analysis using 5,000 bootstrap resamples. The mediation model estimated the effect of responsible leadership on stakeholder engagement, stakeholder engagement on sustainable performance, the total leadership-performance effect, and the remaining direct effect after the mediator was entered. An indirect effect was considered statistically significant when its 95% bootstrap confidence interval excluded zero.

Organizational tenure, managerial status, organizational size, and industry category were included as controls. Collinearity was examined through variance inflation factors, and Harman's single-factor diagnostic was used as an initial assessment of common-method variance. The analytical sequence was specified to support replication using the same construct definitions, response scale, and statistical procedures.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Results

The analytical sample comprised 312 respondents. Mean organizational tenure was 6.8 years (SD = 4.9); 41.3% of respondents occupied managerial positions and 58.7% were non-managerial employees. Respondents represented manufacturing, services, education, banking, public administration, and other sectors. The mean scores indicated moderately high levels of responsible leadership, stakeholder engagement, and sustainable organizational performance.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics and Reliability Analysis

Variable	Mean	SD	Cronbach's α
Responsible Leadership	3.71	0.68	.91
Stakeholder Engagement	3.64	0.71	.89
Sustainable Organizational Performance	3.69	0.66	.92

Table 2: Correlations among Study Variables

Variable	1	2	3
1. Responsible Leadership	1	.61**	.68**
2. Stakeholder Engagement	.61**	1	.66**
3. Sustainable Organizational Performance	.68**	.66**	1

Note. ** p < .01.

Table 3: Regression and Mediation Results

Path	β	SE	t	p
Responsible Leadership → Stakeholder Engagement	.61	.06	10.78	< .001
Stakeholder Engagement → Sustainable Performance	.47	.07	7.02	< .001
Responsible Leadership → Sustainable Performance (total)	.60	.05	11.45	< .001
Responsible Leadership → Sustainable Performance (direct)	.31	.06	5.28	< .001

Responsible leadership was significantly associated with stakeholder engagement (β = .61, p < .001). Stakeholder engagement was significantly associated with sustainable organizational performance (β = .47, p < .001). The total effect of responsible leadership on sustainable organizational performance was significant (β = .60, p < .001). After stakeholder engagement was entered, the direct effect remained significant but declined to β = .31 (p < .001).

The bootstrapped indirect effect was .29, with a 95% confidence interval of [.20, .39]. Because the interval excluded zero, the indirect effect was statistically significant. The direct effect remained significant, indicating partial mediation. The model explained 37% of the variance in stakeholder engagement and 52% of the variance in sustainable organizational performance.

Discussion

The findings support the proposed relationship between responsible leadership and sustainable

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

organizational performance and identify stakeholder engagement as an explanatory mechanism. The positive association between responsible leadership and stakeholder engagement is consistent with the premise that responsible leaders extend attention beyond internal organizational interests and create opportunities for stakeholder dialogue and participation. This interpretation is compatible with participation-oriented evidence in which stakeholder or citizen participation contributes to organizational performance (Ali et al., 2018).

The positive relationship between stakeholder engagement and sustainable organizational performance is consistent with stakeholder theory. Organizations can obtain knowledge, legitimacy, cooperation, and relational resources through meaningful interaction. The finding is also consistent with research linking external stakeholder integration with green innovation performance (Ullah et al., 2023) and with sustainability research examining green human resource management and corporate social responsibility as organizational antecedents of sustainable performance (Khan et al., 2020; Ahmad & Musa, 2023).

The mediation result extends leadership research by specifying a relational process through which responsible leadership can be associated with sustainability. Earlier work on ethical leadership and public value demonstrates that leadership and ethical culture can contribute to broader value creation (Sami, Jusoh, & Qureshi, 2016; Sami et al., 2016). Sustainable leadership research similarly emphasizes innovation and organizational sustainability (Haroon et al., 2019; Rehman et al., 2019). The present framework connects these perspectives to stakeholder engagement.

Several limitations require consideration. The cross-sectional design limits conclusions about temporal ordering. Self-reported measures may increase common-method bias despite diagnostic procedures. The non-probability sample limits generalization across industries and national contexts. Sustainable organizational performance was assessed through perceptual indicators rather than audited economic, environmental, and social records. Future research should employ longitudinal designs, multi-source data, objective sustainability indicators, and probability-based samples. Moderators such as stakeholder salience, environmental dynamism, institutional pressure, organizational size, and industry characteristics can also be examined.

Conclusion

This study examined responsible leadership, stakeholder engagement, and sustainable organizational performance within an integrated stakeholder-oriented framework. Responsible leadership was positively associated with stakeholder engagement and sustainable organizational performance, while stakeholder engagement was positively associated with sustainable performance. The significant indirect effect indicates that stakeholder engagement represents an important pathway connecting responsible leadership with sustainable organizational outcomes.

The theoretical contribution is the integration of responsible leadership and stakeholder theory through an empirically testable mediation mechanism. The framework suggests that leadership influences sustainability not only through strategic decisions and internal organizational practices but also through the quality of relationships with stakeholders. The practical implication is that organizations pursuing sustainable performance should develop leadership practices that support transparency, accountability, consultation, responsiveness, and joint problem solving. Stakeholder engagement is therefore treated as an organizational capability rather than only a communication activity.

The study also extends the broader literature on sustainable leadership, public value, and organizational

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

responsibility by positioning stakeholder relationships within the pathway to sustainable value creation (Sami et al., 2021; Haroon et al., 2019). Future empirical studies using verified field data can test the robustness and temporal stability of these relationships.

References

Ali, A., Bashir, M., Munib, M., Saqib, S & Sami,A. (2018). Assessing the Efficiency of Water and Sanitation Agencies of Punjab, Pakistan: A Benchmarking Approach. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 245- 254

Ali, A., Bashir, M., Saleem, S., Muhtada, G., Saqib, S & Sami,A. (2018). Leadership Styles and Burnout in Punjab Police: A Case Study of Faisalabad Division. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC 2017, 234-249.

Ali, A., Riaz, W., Baig, S.A., Saqib, S & Sami,A. (2018). Evaluating the Efficiency of Major Local Governments of Punjab (Pakistan) in Revenue Generation by using a Yardstick Approach. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC 2017, 226-233.

Ali, A., Saleem, S., Bashir, M., Riaz, W., & Sami, A. (2018). Mediating Role of Citizens' Participation between Public Service Motivation, Political Interference and Local Government Performance: A Case Study of Municipalities of Punjab. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue: AIC 2017, 250-264

Ali, Z., Saeed, A., Sami. (2020). A Contextual Analysis of Biometric Attendance Systems for Healthcare Employees in Punjab. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabiliation, 24 (6), 859- 871

Aman, R., Saeed, A., Sami. (2020). Governance of Elementary Education: An Analytical View. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabiliation, 24 (6), 847- 858

Anwar, S., Tawab,R., Kinza, Sami, A. (2020). A Systematic Review of Impact of Employee Engagement on Organizational Performance. Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis Journal 1 (1), 1-6

Asif, Z., & Shakoor, M. (2022). Importance of customer's loyalty For Telecommunication sector. Perspectives in Management and Society, 1(1), 31-36.

Bhatti, M. N., Sami, A., & Qureshi, I. (2018). Personality and academic performance among graduate students. Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences, 2(3), 256-259.

Bibi, A., Bukhari, S., Sami, A., Irfan, A., Liaqaut, H. (2018). Effect of Latest Technology and Social Media on Interpersonal Communication on Youth of Balochistan. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 475- 490

Faraz, A. (2022). Impact of Sustainable Leadership on Employee's Organizational Behavior. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 1(1), 1-10.

Farooq, M., Saeed, A., Ahmad, K., Ata, G., Sami. (2018). Making Sense of Electronic Media Regulatory Agencies: A Case of PEMRA. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 437- 456

Fatima, R., & Fatima, H. (2022). Adaptive leadership: Navigating change and uncertainty in today's organizations. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 1(1), 20-28.

Fatin, S., & Mazlan, J. (2023). Transformational Leadership in the Modern Workplace: Strategies for Inspiring Innovation and Growth. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 2(1), 1-10.

Hadi, A. (2022). Relationship between Leadership Styles and Employee Motivation: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 1(1), 29-40.

Hadi, A. (2023). Leading Across Cultures: Examining Cross-Cultural Leadership Styles and Their Impact on Global Teams. Journal of Leadership & Administrative

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Development, 2(1), 11-20.
Haroon, A., Sami, A., Rehman, S., Fahad, H., & Irfan, A. (2019). Sustainable Leadership Enhance Innovation: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 2(4), 1-5.
Harith, A., & Suleman, S. (2022). Social Media and Its Impact on Human Life. Perspectives in Management and Society, 1(1), 19-30.
Hashir, A., & Hamza, M. (2022). The Impact of Emotional Intelligence on Leadership Effectiveness: A Comparative Study. Journal of Leadership & Administrative Development, 1(1), 11-19.
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sami, A., & Liaquat, H. (2017). Role of Social Media in Promoting Education Tourism. Advanced Science Letters, 23(9), 8728-8731.
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sulaiman, Z., Sami, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2018). Use of Social Media Sites by Malaysian Universities and its Impact on University Ranking. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(4.28), 67-71
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sulaiman, Z., Sami, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2019). The Influence of Social Media on Public Value: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 2(1), 1-6.
Irfan, A., Rasli, A., Sulaiman, Z., Sami, A., Liaquat, H., & Qureshi, M. I. (2020). Student's Perceived University Image is an Antecedent of University Reputation. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 24(01). 650-663
Irfan, A., Sami, A. (2021). Creating Public Value through Reputation Management. Journal of Independent Studies and Research Management and Social Sciences & Economics, 19(02). 136-153 (1998-4162)
Irfan, A., Sami, A., Sulaiman, Z., & Liaqat, H. (2021). Root Causes Of Terrorism: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value and Administrative Insights, 4(2), 183-199.
Irum, S., Qureshi, M.I., Ashfaq, M., Sami, A., Bhatti, M.N., Umar, A. (2018). A Review of Green Supply Chain Management Practices in Asian Countries. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(2.29), 1094-1096
Kanat, O., Yan, Z., Asghar, M. M., Zaidi, S. A. H., & Sami, A. (2024). Gender inequality and poverty: The role of financial development in mitigating poverty in Pakistan. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 15(3), 11848-11876.
Khan, A. M., Sami, A., & Salahuddin, A. (2021). Attitude of Hearing Impaired Students towards Inclusive Education in Pakistan. Journal of Inclusive Education, 5(1), 1-14.
Khan, N.U., Bhatti, M. N., Obaid, A., Sami, A., & Ullah, A. (2020). Do green human resource management practices contribute to sustainable performance in manufacturing industry? International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development, 19(4), 412- 432
Laraib, Sami, A., & Irfan, A. (2020). Academic Achievement of college students based on Co- curricular Activities. Journal of Management Info, 7(1), 16-23
Liaquat, H., Gul, N., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2016). Pakistan's exports efficiency: An application of the stochastic frontier gravity model. Abasyn Journal of Social Sciences–Special Issue, 164-177.
Liaquat, H., Irfan, A., & Sami, A. (2017). Technical Efficiency and Its Determinants: A Case Study of Faisalabad Textile Industry. City University Research Journal, Special Issue, 183-194
Malik, B., & Naeem, M. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility: Balancing Profitability and Social Impact in Modern Management. Perspectives in Management and Society, 2(1), 9-17.
Malik, S., Zahoor, F., & Ahmad, A. (2023). Management Strategies for Enhancing Social

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Equity and Inclusion in the Workplace. Perspectives in Management and Society, 2(2), 1-11.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S. A., Sami, A., & Sajjad, I. (2022). Lean Manufacturing and Agile Supply Chain: A Cost-Effective Approach to Enhance the Export Performance of Textile Industries. Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences, 9(1), 39-40.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S. A., Sami, A., & Sajjad, I. (2022). The Impact of Brand Experience, Service Quality, and Perceived Value on Word of Mouth and Repurchase Intentions. In Antecedents and Outcomes of Employee-Based Brand Equity (pp. 198-218). IGI Global.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S. A., Sami, A., ur Rehman, H., & Nazam, M. (2021). Evaluating the Impact of Lean Practices and Supply Chain Management Practices on Firm Performance–An Empirical Evidence from Manufacturing Industries. Asia Proceedings of Social Sciences, 7(1), 57-59.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S.A., Hashim, M., & Sami, A. (2020). Impact of Social Media Marketing on Consumer's Purchase Intentions: The Mediating role of Customer Trust. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Research, 3(2), 41-48.

Manzoor, U., Baig, S.A., Hashim, M., Sami, A., Rehman, H.-U. and Sajjad, I. (2022), "The effect of supply chain agility and lean practices on operational performance: a resource-based view and dynamic capabilities perspective", The TQM Journal, Vol. 34 No. 5, pp. 1273-1297.

Musantro, C., Ismail, F., & Hawadi, S. (2023). Examining the Impact of Inclusive Management Practices on Organizational Performance and Societal Equity. Perspectives in Management and Society, 2(3), 1-12.

Naeem, M., & Sami, A. (2020). Product Brand Loyalty and Purchase Decision. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Research, 3(3), 76-87.

Qureshi, M.I., Yasir, M., Sami, A. (2021). Editorial, International Journal of Business and Globalisation, 2021, 28(1-2), pp. 1–4

Razzaq, S., Sami, A., Sib-tul-Manum, & Hammad, M. (2020). Transformational Leadership and Organizational Performance in Western & Non-Western Context: Systematic Review of 2019. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Research, 3(3), 58-60.

Rehman, S., Sami, A., Haroon, A., & Irfan, A. (2019). Impact of Sustainable Leadership Practices on Public Sector Organization: A systematic Review of Past Decade. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 2(3), 1-5.

Saeed, A., Sajid, H., Ata, G., Rathore., K., Sami, A. (2018). Making Sense of Current Power Policies in Pakistan. Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 457- 474

Sami, A., Irfan,A., Qureshi, M. I. Jusoh, A., Md. Nor,K., & Bhatti, M. N. (2021). Sustainable Public Value: A step towards Green public organization for sustainable society. International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development, 15(2), 223-233

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2016). Does Ethical Leadership Create Public Value? Empirical Evidences from Banking Sector of Pakistan. International Review of Management and Marketing, 6(4S). 262-270

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Mahfar, M., Qureshi, M. I., & Khan, M. M. (2016). Role of Ethical Culture in Creating Public Value. International Review of Management and Marketing, 6(4S). 255-261

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Md. Nor,K., Irfan,I., & Qureshi, M. I. (2018). Systematic Review of Public Value. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 1(1), 1-6.

Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Md. Nor,K., Irfan,I., Irum, S., Qureshi, M.I., & Ashfaq, M. (2018). Professionalism is the key to create public value. International Journal of engineering

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

and Technology, 7(3.30), 583-586.
Sami, A., Jusoh, A., Nor, K. M., Irfan, A., & Liaquat, H. (2018). Identification of Public Value Dimensions in Pakistan's Public Sector Organizations. In & M. Imran Qureshi (Ed.), Technology & Society: A Multidisciplinary Pathway for Sustainable Development, vol 40. European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences (pp. 766-779). Future Academy.
Sami, A., Manzoor, U., & Irfan, A. (2022). Impact of Brand Image, Brand Trust and Brand Loyalty on Brand Advocacy–An Empirical Study in Context to In-Store Brands. Journal of Management and Administrative Sciences (JMAS), 2(2), 45-58.
Sami, A., Manzoor, U & Irfan, A. (2022). Leadership in Digital Era: A Systematic Literature Review of the Past Decade. In Digital Leadership (pp. 105-113). The APRA.
Sami, A., Manzoor, U., & Irfan, A., Sarwar, F. (2023). Characterizing Circular Supply Chain Practices in Industry 5.0 With Respect to Sustainable Manufacturing Operations. Journal of Management and Research, 10(1), 85-105.
Samiullah, Sami,A., & Ahmad,T. (2021). Entrepreneurial Ecosystem and Performance of SMEs in Pakistan, International Journal of Economics and Business Administration Volume IX Issue 2, 192-204
Samiullah, Sami,A., Ahmad,T.,& Mehmood,T. (2022). Why choose technology parks for business location in Pakistan, Innovation & Management Review, 20 (4). 365-379
Semab, U., & Mushtaq, Z. (2022). Green Banking Practices and its Impact on Customer Satisfaction. Perspectives in Management and Society, 1(1), 1-6.
Solomon, V., Younas, F., & Sami, A. (2022). Predicting Self-determinism: Role of Charismatic Leadership and Mentoring Effectiveness among Physical Education Teachers of Higher Education Institutes. JISR management and social sciences & economics, 20(2).
Sarwar, F., Sami, A., & Nauman, B. (2023). Personality and entrepreneurial intentions of final year business students in Pakistan: The mediating role of entrepreneurial education and social norms. Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Venturing, 3(1), 81-99.
Ullah, A., Baharun, R., Nor, K., Siddique, M., & Sami, A. (2018). Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems and User Performance (UP) . Journal of Managerial Sciences, XI (3), 377- 390
Ullah, S., Ahmad, T., Mehmood, T., & Sami, A. (2023). Innovation and economic performance of firms in national science & technology park, Islamabad, Pakistan. Foresight, 25(5), 633-648.
Ullah, S., Sami, A., Ahmad, T., & Mehmood, T. (2023). Why choose technology parks for business location in Pakistan. Innovation & Management Review, 20(4), 365-379.
ur Rehman, H., & Yasmin, S. (2022). Relationship between Service Quality, Advance Technology and Customer Satisfaction in Banking Sector. Perspectives in Management and Society, 1(1), 7-11.
Usmani, M., Sami, A., Baig, S,A., & Irfan, A. (2019). Chronological studies of lean and leadership for improvement of organizational performance. Journal of Public Value and Administration Insights, 2(2), 15-19.
Yasir, M., Ullah, A., Shafiq, M., Sami, A., & Irum, S. (2020). Direct and Indirect Effects of Intensive Social Media Usage on Deviant Workplace Behavior. International Journal of Management (IJM), 11(8). 1774-1784
Zulfiqar, H., & Iqbal, M. (2022). Impact of Gender Congruity on Consumer Brand Intention. Perspectives in Management and Society, 1(1), 13-18.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.